

**The Grow Your Own Collective:  
A Critical Race Movement to Transform Education**

Although seemingly every teacher-focused organization, school district, and department of education proclaims the value of a diverse teacher workforce, less conversation focuses on the role of teacher education in maintaining a predominantly white teacher workforce (Delpit, 2012). Indeed, the overwhelming whiteness of higher education has a direct impact on the whiteness of the teaching profession (Sleeter, 2001). Much research has documented the cumulative impact of racially hostile campus climates, including daily microaggressions, false colorblind or blatantly racist collegiate faculty, and white-centric curricula that students of color must navigate if they are to graduate from predominantly white institutions (Harper & Hurtado, 2007; Strayhorn, 2012). The disproportionately smaller numbers of students of color who do graduate with bachelor's degrees and whom attend teacher education programs face continued isolation, receiving instruction from a majority white professoriate around strategies to teach an increasingly diverse student population that often, these very faculty are unskilled at teaching (Ladson-Billings, 2005; Picower, 2009).

The alignment of white supremacy across the P-20 spectrum creates accumulated cognitive dissonance for students of color, ultimately leading to fewer teachers of color in U.S. classrooms (Kohli, 2014). Scholar-practitioners have pushed to reframe the problem of teacher shortages to confront the idea that recruiting and retaining teachers who hold the pedagogical and cultural expertise is required to deliver the best education to students

in high-need areas (Gist, 2017; Skinner, Garreton & Schultz, 2011; Rogers-Ard, Knaus, Epstein & Mayfield, 2012). This article extends the focus on recruitment and retention by proposing a model that recognizes the need to counter the educational context of white supremacy through Grow Your Own (GYO) programs. Thus, this paper clarifies the National Grow Your Own National Collective (GYOC), a collaborative of school, district, college, and most essentially, community-based organizations committed to advocacy for and with anti-racist, community-rooted teacher development models (<https://www.gyocollective.org/>).

To be clear, this article is not a step-by-step primer on best practice for teacher education programs to recruit, retain or improve the lack of teacher diversity and preparation for teachers of diverse students. Instead, this paper aims to decenter the role of teacher education as the primary responsibility for the preparation of diverse teachers; we argue here that community-based organizations, districts, schools, and higher education partners must collaborate as full partners to disrupt institutionally racist practices. Therefore, we expand beyond the traditional notion of teacher education by problematizing diversity within students and teachers, applying a critical race theory (CRT) orientation to educator development frameworks, and situating GYOC as a network for locally-tailored collaborations to recruit, prepare, place, and retain culturally rooted teachers of color.

### **Context of Student and Teacher Diversity**

As local, state, and federal educational reform efforts are debated at all levels, increasing requirements shaping who teaches, what they teach, and how we measure their

effectiveness are being implemented across the country (Darling-Hammond, 2010; Darling-Hammond & Rothman, 2015). These reforms occur alongside a multitude of efforts to address systemic inequities that contribute to the seemingly ever-present opportunity gaps that shape public education in the United States. Despite the continual cycling through of change efforts, however, significant disparities in almost every measure of educational outcome for African American, Native American, Pacific Islander, and Latinx<sup>1</sup> students remains (Darling-Hammond, 2010; Rankin & Reason, 2005). These disparities, and the reforms attempting to address them, are exacerbated by both a growing teacher shortage, and the lack of a diverse teaching workforce. This shortage is in danger of expanding rapidly as states increase the number of temporary or substandard permits issued (California alone issued some 10,000 in 2015-16), while enrollments in teacher preparatory programs continue to shrink (Hersh & Merrow, 2015; Darling-Hammond, 2010). These shortages exacerbate entrenched inequalities in schools that educate low income children.

Teachers of color continue to be disproportionately assigned to under-resourced schools in low-income urban communities (Achinstein, Ogawa, Sexton, & Freitas, 2010; Epstein, 2006; Gordon, 1992). Additionally, students of color and students who live in poverty are 70 percent more likely than their White and affluent peers to have a teacher who is not certified in math, English, science and social studies teaching them these four core subjects (Barton & Coley, 2009). They are also more likely to have a teacher who

---

<sup>1</sup> Recognizing a lack of shared acceptance for racialized terminology, the term Latinx is used to refer inclusively to the wide gender spectrum, as well as the range of students who identify as Mexican American, Chicano/a, Latino/a, and Hispanic.

does not have a college major or minor in the subject area being taught (Darling-Hammond, 2010). According to the National Center for Education Statistics, of the 3.2 million public school teachers currently educating the nation's 49 million children, only 6 percent are Latinx and 7 percent are African American. Of more than 13,500 public school superintendents, estimates suggest just 250 are Latinx, and 363 are African American (ALAS, n.d.; NABSE, n.d.). Simply put, students of color, especially those who live in low-income communities, are more likely to be taught by less qualified, racially dissimilar teachers than White students.

### **Educator Preparation**

The growing need for teachers is paralleled by a similar call to diversify the teacher workforce, while a related concern is that the way educators are prepared does not reflect the realities and resource disparities of the range of racially, linguistically, and culturally diverse students in schools (Gist, 2017; Ladson-Billings, 2013; Perkins, 2016; Skinner, Garreton, & Schultz, 2011; Villegas, Strom, & Lucas, 2012). One obvious systemic example is the technological gap between urban and rural schools and predominantly white college classrooms (Warschauer, Knobel & Stone, 2004). Another is the English monolingualism of predominantly white college classrooms that serves as stark contrast to the vast multilingualism in K-12 classrooms (Curtis, 2013).

In addition to university structures not directly aligned to P-12 classrooms, schools, or districts, how potential teachers choose teaching as a profession reflects a lack of systemic intentionality, and often requires substantial personal or familial resources (Goings, & Bianco, 2016; Leech, Haug, & Bianco, 2015). As a result of antiquated

pathways from college to the K-12 classroom, the majority of teachers in the U.S. remain White women, further exacerbating the need for culturally responsive approaches that reflect the increasingly diverse student population (Achinstein & Ogawa, 2011; Gordon, 1994; Perkins, 2016). Research indicates that students of color benefit in multiple ways by experiencing school with a diverse teacher workforce. Students are less likely to be expelled or suspended; more likely to be recommended for gifted education, less likely to be misplaced in special education, and more likely to graduate from high school on time (Antecol, Eren, & Ozbeklik, 2015; Egalite, Kisida & Marcus, 2015; Shanker Institute, 2015).

### **Critical Race Theory in Praxis**

GYOC applies Critical Race Theory as a framework for preparing teachers for service within historically under resourced school systems particularly because of the need for a systemic anti-racism lens (Ladson-Billings & Tate, 1995). CRT scholars are committed to recognizing racism as an operating principle in the design of schools, colleges, and by extension, teacher education programs (Zamudio, Russell, Rios, & Bridgeman, 2012). Indeed, Ladson-Billings (1999) frames the foundational U.S. curriculum as “designed to maintain a White supremacist master script,” (p. 21). Thus, based on the work of two scholar-practitioners central to the formation of GYOC, we adapt four tenets:

- 1) Racism is everywhere and all the time
- 2) The purpose of schools is to silence students and educators of color

## GROW YOUR OWN COLLECTIVE

- 3) White interests attempt to colonize every effort that centers students or educators of color; and
- 4) Nurturing, valuing, and centering the perspectives of students and educators of color are the way to transform the first three tenets. (Ard & Knaus, 2014, 5-6).

CRT thus provides guiding principles for GYOC to examine, support, and foster GYO programs that intend to dismantle “the structures of oppression,” (Ard & Knaus, 2014, p. 6).

To that end, preparing local teachers to serve in the very schools where they live and may have grown up becomes an exercise in transforming white supremacist educational practices (Skinner, Garreton & Schultz, 2011). The collective context of race neutral pedagogies, racist policies, and intentionally unequal teacher education practices prompted the need to develop GYOC.

### **Grow Your Own Collective**

As university-based GYO programs have proliferated in response to the need to diversify the teacher workforce (Jenlink, 2012; Mada & Schultz, 2009; Skinner, Garreton & Schultz, 2011), the need for a clear definition, rooted in research, practice, and a critical race examination of systemic oppression, has increased. GYOC thus defines GYO programs as highly collaborative, community-rooted, intensive supports for recruiting, preparing, placing, and retaining diverse classroom teachers who dismantle institutional racism and work towards educational equity (<https://www.gyocollective.org/>). Central to GYOC’s argument is the notion that growing one’s own must include placement and

## GROW YOUR OWN COLLECTIVE

retention efforts for teachers of record, with an explicit focus on addressing structural barriers and providing culturally responsive development along the lifetime trajectory of educators.

Recognizing the national proliferation of programs that identify themselves as grow your own (PESB, 2016), GYOC was formed in 2016 to identify, research, support, and incubate programs that fit within GYOC's definition. GYOC contends that one critical strategy to diversify the teaching workforce is through the development of programs that intentionally recruit, prepare, place and retain diverse teachers (<https://www.gyocollective.org/>). Programs that address the four development arenas are considered part of the GYO pipeline. In essence, GYOC utilizes a structural lens to ensure all aspects of long-term culturally responsive teacher of color development align around CRT practice (<https://www.gyocollective.org/>).

Because GYO programs seek to staff high-needs schools with adults from the schools' neighborhoods, GYOC's operating assumption is that teacher candidates likely experience many of the racial injustices faced by the students they serve. Indeed, locally grown teaching programs presume that candidates have experienced oppression as K-12 students and must navigate racially exclusive higher education systems in order to then be placed (and retained) as teachers within racially disparate schools. Therefore, creating GYO programs does not excuse white educators from examining their own implicit bias; indeed, successful GYO programs integrate white educators and educators of color in an effort to decenter the segregation of teacher education.

GYOC fosters programs that envision teachers as culturally responsive and community-rooted change agents with valuable insider knowledge. These teachers already have a cultural, linguistic, and geographic foundation as insiders within specific school communities; they are community activists, concerned parents and students from the local community with a wealth of life experiences (Rogers-Ard, Knaus, Mayfield, Epstein, 2012). These teachers intentionally bridge the school/home divide while providing culturally responsive education and advanced academic opportunities for students (Gay, 2018; Valdés, 1996). In short, GYOC aims to expand beyond the one multicultural education course teacher education students take, and beyond the limited professional development teachers receive towards integrating critical race theory informed cultural responsiveness throughout the educator life cycle.

### **Types of Grow Your Own Structures**

GYO programs intend to diversify the teacher workforce, increase retention, and improve the quality of preparation efforts through some combination of university, community college, community organization, and/or district partnerships. By recruiting locally, these programs are situated at universities, community colleges, community based organizations, and school districts to prepare and place adults familiar with the cultural context of their students.

GYO programs recruit teaching candidates from the local context, which includes recent college graduates and career changes. Central to effective recruitment is the notion of partnering with local community organizations that are familiar with target populations. Given the focus on recruiting adults already steeped in local knowledge,

## GROW YOUR OWN COLLECTIVE

GYO programs prepare teachers with localized curricular and pedagogical approaches; this requires faculty, community experts with cultural knowledges, and school-based collaboration to blend insider knowledge with research-informed best practice. Many GYO programs are delivered off-site and in-community as ways of decentering the racially hostile campus climate that university-based programs reflect (Madda & Schultz, 2009).

Once GYO teachers are prepared, the focus shifts to placement of community educators within schools where cultural isolation (Rogers-Ard, 2105) is not a factor. Advocacy with site-based leaders and district talent departments is a critical component to ensure educators of color are well-supported at the site. When all of the above three approaches synergistically align with continual professional development and growth, GYO's theory of action results in expectations of increased teacher retention and locally-informed, culturally responsive definitions of student success.

There are several organizational models that suggest a myriad of ways in which GYO programs can be conceptualized and implemented to sustainably prepare local educators. These include community-centric models, localized neighborhood partnerships between district and colleges, district-centered models, statewide and national networking models, and high school-based programs. GYOC operates out of the presumption that capacity to adapt to local, regional, and statewide pressures, strengths and limitations is critical to ensuring longevity and sustainability.

Some early GYO programs intentionally centered specific community residents within specific geographic communities. For example, The Institute for Native Pacific

## GROW YOUR OWN COLLECTIVE

Education and Culture (INPEACE) is based in rural Kapolei on the island of Oahu. INPEACE specifically nurtures “community members and empower[s] them with specific knowledge and skills so they can realize a more productive, fulfilling life. In turn, the community in which they live becomes the community in which they serve...” (INPEACE, n.d.). These guiding principles center and reflect local Native Hawaiian community contexts, and INPEACE offers an array of indigenous-centric parent and child development efforts, economic and workforce development, student supports, and cultural knowledge programs (INPEACE, n.d.).

INPEACE operates a teacher development program (Kūlia & Ka Lama Education Academy) that partners with local schools, the state department of education, a local community college, and a four-year university program to align preparation and support efforts. The predominantly Native Hawaiian candidates are recruited from the geographically isolated Waianae coast, who enter at multiple stages through completion of a college degree and teacher certification. Many begin as parents who enroll their children in INPEACE’s preschool academy, and some transition to working in its early childhood education programs. Most candidates spend years in INPEACE programming, eventually joining a cohort navigating through community college, to a four year teacher preparation program, with professional development and Native Hawaiian educator mentors throughout the process.

Similarly framed within geographic contexts, localized neighborhood partnerships between schools, districts and colleges are another adaptation of GYO programs. GYO-Illinois was initially a statewide model (that has since adopted yet again in

consideration of changes in state funding models) that focuses on community-centered cohorts of community members becoming local teachers

(<http://www.growyourownteachers.org/programs>). GYO-Illinois:

“grew from the work of Chicago community organizations in low-income neighborhoods who identified high teacher turnover and a cultural disconnect between the students and teachers as key barriers to sustained school improvement and student achievement. GYO was created to train and retain certified teachers of color committed to staying in high needs schools in the communities where they live,” (<http://www.growyourownteachers.org/programs>).

Thus, while GYO-Illinois serves as a statewide network, individual GYO programs tailor pathways towards their local residents with each program establishing a web of community, district, and higher education partners. Candidates are parents, community members, and paraprofessionals in low-income communities who are then supported throughout the journey to complete a teaching certificate. Once placed by a local district, GYO-Illinois provides additional in-classroom mentoring over the first three years, and has placed over 120 teachers.

Extending the neighborhood focus of GYO-Illinois to reflect city-wide boundaries, district-based programs approach the recruitment, preparation, placement, and retention from within the framework of an organizational entity. Teach Tomorrow in Oakland (TTO) was a nine year-long program that placed over 160 teachers of color (Rogers-Ard, 2018). TTO collaborated with university certificate programs, and offered its own admissions, professional development, and support programs; candidates were in

## GROW YOUR OWN COLLECTIVE

the pipeline until they earned their bachelor's degree, and once fully admitted, were placed as teachers of record while earning their credential. TTO has since split into two GYO programs: the Grow Our Own Teacher Pathway, a program designed to increase the number of special education teachers of color by recruiting local adults and allowing teachers to earn their credential while being a teacher-of-record and pursuing their credential (OUSD, n.d.). Based entirely on TTO best practices, the newly-formed Classified 2 Teaching program within OUSD utilizes former TTO teachers as mentors and pedagogical coaches to support transitioning classified staff into classroom teachers.

California State University Dominguez Hills (CSUDH) offers a university-based adaptation, applying similar parameters as GYO-Illinois and TTO, but extending beyond neighborhoods and cities to the geographic reach of university. CSUDH partners with local community colleges and districts to offer a range of pathway options for pre-service teachers, and includes an alignment of state and federal grant sources to provide supports along the pipeline. These pathways (framed as alternative pathways), include online programs, math, science, and stem focused, urban-focused, and other adaptations to match the needs of a wide range of pre-service teachers (CSUDH, n.d.). Most students begin in one of the community college programs, and transfer as a cohort into CSUDH, where they continue certification coursework while retaining jobs as district-hired paraprofessionals.

In addition to these neighborhood, community, district, and university adaptations, other approaches include statewide and national networking approaches to support GYO programs. The aforementioned GYO-Illinois served as a statewide network

## GROW YOUR OWN COLLECTIVE

to support programs. The National Latino/a Education Research and Policy Project (NLERAP) similarly reflects an opportunity to link “experienced education researchers with an emphasis on Latino/a education,” (NLREP, 2018). NLERAP promotes parental and community participation in school governance, develops leadership and political awareness among youth, promotes systemic change, and improves academic achievement. While NLERAP does not specifically focus on GYO programs, its values directly align, suggesting an approach that could extend ethnic-specific programs across the U.S. Such state and national adaptations can dramatically expand the reach of programs that may operate within relative isolation.

A final adaptation, either school, district, or college-based high school programs, continue to expand across districts and states. Often referred to as high school teaching academies, these pipelines foster early interest in teaching as a profession. Some programs start as early as middle school and participating students often mentor younger students, gain college readiness skills, early college credit, strengthen academic and public speaking skills, and examine educational inequalities. One such program, identified in part due to its commitment to critical race theory as guiding framework, is a concurrent enrollment program designed for 11th and 12th grade students (Pathways2Teaching, n.d.). Since 2010, Pathways2Teaching has served hundreds of students in several Denver metro school districts, and like many high school teaching academies, some graduates do go on to enroll in teacher education programs or related fields.

These adaptations are presented not as a cumulative list, but as representative structural examples that suggest the wide range of opportunities to frame and engage in GYO programming. Moreover, they suggest the importance of creativity in adapting to specific cultural nuance, geographic influences, and organizational partnerships to encourage continual vigilance towards recognizing the structures of racism that collude to maintain barriers for adults of color becoming long-term teachers of color.

### **Creating a GYO Movement**

GYOC's synergy is based on a common understanding of what is needed to develop and sustain a successful GYO program across numerous contexts. GYOC thus advocates for the expansion of GYO programming that aims to create localized pathways, rooted in specific, identified cultural and community contexts to address the democratic imperative to diversify the teaching workforce. Critical to GYOC is the notion of modeling the very anti-racist orientation that critical race theory provides, and as such, founding members reflect a combination of scholars, educators, community-based practitioners, and others with shared experience leading programs that have, as larger structural visions the recruitment, preparation, placement, and retention of diverse, socially just teachers of color (<https://www.gyocollective.org/>).

Next steps in the GYOC effort to foster a national movement that shares the burden and expertise of developing long-term educators include identifying, replicating, and advocating for GYO-supportive programming and policies. GYOC identifies GYO programs as those that engage in all four of the areas of the teacher development process: recruitment, preparation, placement, and retention of teachers. Programs that prepare

## GROW YOUR OWN COLLECTIVE

local teachers through high school and college-based programs are defined as part of the GYO Pipeline, and thus may exemplify best practice in some of the four needed development arenas.

GYOC has identified very few programs that encompass each of four areas; therefore, GYOC encourages the highlighting of creative approaches, critical partnerships, identification of barriers and navigational successes, and documentation of best practices operationalized at the local levels. Indeed, many GYO-aligned programs operate in ways that concretely identify barriers that face adults of color along the pathway towards a career as a teacher so that these barriers can be circumnavigated or potentially changed. Thus, GYOC further includes a focus on identifying local, regional and statewide policy advocacy efforts, including alignments of legislation that supports strengthening each of the four development arenas.

Ultimately, GYOC aims to transform the very way teacher preparation is conceptualized, and sees as foundational to that effort a cross-collaborative network that connects programs and thought-leaders, strengthens current and potential new models, and helps develop a national vision for implementation and scale up. Central to next steps in the transformation of teacher education to a shared responsibility model includes sharing best practices across school districts, community-based programs, state educational business units, and universities across the country to sustain current and incubate new programs.

## References

- Achinstein, B., & Ogawa, R. T. (2011). *Change(d) agents: New teachers of color in urban schools*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Achinstein, B., Ogawa, R. T., Sexton, D., & Freitas, C. (2010). Retaining Teachers of Color: A Pressing Problem and a Potential Strategy for "Hard-to-Staff" Schools. *Review of Educational Research, 80*(1), 71-107.
- Association of Latino Administrators and Superintendents - ALAS (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://www.alasedu.org/>
- Antecol, H., Eren, O., & Ozbeklik, S. (2015). The effect of teacher gender on student achievement in primary school. *Journal of Labor Economics, 33*(1), 63-89.
- Ard, R. L., & Knaus, C. B. (2013). From colonization to RESPECT: How federal education policy fails children and educators of color. *ECI Interdisciplinary Journal for Legal and Social Policy, 3*(1), 1-32. Retrieved from <https://ecipublications.org/ijlsp/vol3/iss1/2/>
- Barton, P. E., & Coley, R. J. (2009). *Parsing the achievement gap II*. Princeton, NJ: Policy Information Center, Educational Testing Service.
- California State University Dominguez Hills (n.d.). Pathways to education careers. Website. Retrieved from <https://www.csudh.edu/coe/pathways/>
- Chick, N. (2014). Educationally purposeful thoughts about race on campus. Retrieved from: <https://cft.vanderbilt.edu/2014/06/educationally-purposeful-thoughts-about-race-on-campus/>

- Curtis, E. (2013). *Toward linguistically inclusive teaching: A curriculum for teacher education and a case study of secondary teachers' learning* [Doctoral thesis]. Retrieved from refworks (<http://hdl.handle.net/1773/25023>).
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2010). *The flat world and education: How America's commitment to equity will determine our future*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Darling-Hammond, L., & Rothman, R. (2015). *Teaching in the flat world: Learning from high-performing systems*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Delpit, L. D. (2012). *"Multiplication is for white people": Raising expectations for other people's children*. New York, NY: The New Press.
- Egalite, A. J., Kisida, B., & Winters, M. A. (2015). Representation in the classroom: The effect of own-race teachers on student achievement. *Economics of Education Review, 45*, 44-52.
- Epstein, K. K. (2006). *A different view of urban schools: Civil rights, critical race theory, and unexplored realities* (Vol. 291). New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Gay, G. (2018). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice, 3rd. Ed.* New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Gist, C.D. (2017) (Ed.). *Portraits of anti-racist alternative routes to teaching. Framing teacher development for community, justice, and visionaries*. New York, NY: Peter Lang.
- Goings, R., & Bianco, M. (2016). Hard to be who you don't see: An exploration of Black male high school students' perspectives on becoming teachers. *The Urban Review, 48*, 628-646. doi:10.1007/s11256-016-0371-z

## GROW YOUR OWN COLLECTIVE

Gordon, J. A. (1994). Why students of color are not entering teaching: Reflections from minority teachers. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 45(5), 346-353.

Gordon, J. A. (1994). Preparing future teachers for diversity. *The Urban Review*, 26(1), 25-34.

Grow Your Own Collective (n.d.). Website. Retrieved from

<https://www.gyocollective.org/>

Grow Your Own - Illinois (n.d.). What exactly is GYO? Retrieved from

<http://www.growyourownteachers.org/programs>

Harper, S. R. (2009). Race-conscious student engagement practices and the equitable distribution of enriching educational experiences. *Liberal Education*, 95(4), 38-45.

Harper, S. R., & Hurtado, S. (2007). Nine themes in campus racial climates. In S. R. Haper & L. D. Patton (Eds.), *Responding to the realities of race on campus. New Directions for Student Services*, 7-24. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Hersh, R. H., & Merrow, J. (2015). *Declining by degrees: Higher education at risk*. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press.

Institute for Native Pacific Education and Culture - INPEACE (n.d.). Retrieved from

<https://www.inpeace.org/>

Jenlink, K. (2012). *Teacher preparation in career pathways : The future of America's teacher pipeline*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Education.

Kohli, R. (2014). Unpacking internalized racism: Teachers of color striving for racially just classrooms. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 17(3), 367-387.

GROW YOUR OWN COLLECTIVE

- Ladson-Billings, G. (2013). Critical Race Theory—What it is Not! In *Handbook of Critical Race Theory in Education*, 1–15. doi.org/10.4324/9780203155721.ch3
- Non-profit, education: National Alliance of Black School Educators (NABSE). (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://www.nabse.org/>
- Ladson-Billings, G. (2005). *Beyond the big house: African American educators on teacher education*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Ladson-Billings, G. (1999). Just what is Critical Race Theory, and what’s it doing in a nice field like education? In L. Parker, D. Deyhele, & S. Villenas (Eds.), *Race is... race isn’t: Critical Race Theory and qualitative studies in education* (pp. 7-30). Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Leech, N. L., Haug, C. A., & Bianco, M. (2015). Understanding Urban High School Students of Color Motivation to Teach: Validating the FIT-Choice Scale. *Urban Education*, <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085915623338>
- Madda, C. L., & Schultz, B. D. (2009). (Re)constructing ideals of multicultural education through “grow your own teachers.” *Multicultural Perspectives*, 11, 204-207.
- National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education. (2015). Teachers and other school staff. In *Digest of education statistics 2015* (chap. 2). Retrieved from <http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2002/digest2001/>
- National Latino Education Research & Policy Project (2018). Retrieved from <http://opencuny.org/nlerap4ne/>
- Oakland Unified School District (n.d.). Classified to Teacher Program. Retrieved from <https://www.ousd.org/Page/17148>

Pathways2Teaching (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://www.pathways2teaching.com/>

Perkins, B. (2016). Growing the next generation: A program encourages students of color to become teachers. *American Educator*, 40(3), 12-16.

Picower, B. (2009). The unexamined whiteness of teaching: How white teachers maintain and enact dominant racial ideologies. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 12(2), 197-215.

Professional Educators Standards Board - PESB (2016). Grow your own teachers: Enhancing educator pathways to address teacher shortage and increase diversity. Professional Educators Standards Board. Retrieved from <https://www.pesb.wa.gov/innovation-policy/grow-your-own/gyoreport/>

Rankin, S. R., & Reason, R. D. (2005). Differing perceptions: How students of color and White students perceive campus climate for underrepresented groups. *Journal of College Student Development*, 46(1), 43-61.

Rice, J. K., & Malen, B. (2016). When theoretical models meet school realities: Educator responses to student growth measures in an incentive pay program. In Hewitt, K. K., & Amrein-Beardsley, A. (Eds), *Student Growth Measures in Policy and Practice*, 29-47. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.

Rogers-Ard, R. (2015). Retaining teachers of color: Creating educational support systems to battle cultural isolation. *Success in High-Need Schools*, 11(2), 32-41.

Rogers-Ard, R. (2018). Teach Tomorrow in Oakland: Combatting cultural isolation and revolving doors for teachers of color. In C. D. Gist (Ed.), *Portraits of Anti-Racist Alternative Routes to Teaching in the U.S.* 17-31. New York, NY: Peter Lang.

## GROW YOUR OWN COLLECTIVE

Rogers-Ard, R., Knaus, C. B., Epstein, K. K., & Mayfield, K. (2012). Racial diversity sounds nice; Systems transformation? Not so much: Developing urban teachers of color. *Urban Education, 48*(3), 451-479.

Skinner, E. A., Garreton, M. T., & Schultz, B. D. (2011). *Grow your own teachers: Grassroots change for teacher education. Teaching for Social Justice*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Sleeter, C. E. (2001). Preparing teachers for culturally diverse schools: Research and the overwhelming presence of whiteness. *Journal of teacher education, 52*(2), 94-106.

The State of Teacher Diversity in American Education. (2015, September). Retrieved from <http://www.shankerinstitute.org/resource/teacherdiversity>

Strayhorn, T. L. (2012). *College students' sense of belonging: A key to educational success for all students*. New York, NY: Routledge.

Toshalis, E. (2014). Grow your own teachers for urban education. In H. R. Milner & K. Lomotey (Eds.), *Handbook of urban education*, 217- 238. New York, NY: Routledge.

Valdés, G. (1996). *Con respeto: Bridging the distances between culturally diverse families and schools*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Villegas, A. M., Strom, K., & Lucas, T. (2012). Closing the racial/ethnic gap between students of color and their teachers: An elusive goal. *Equity & Excellence in Education, 45*(2), 283-301.

Warschauer, M., Knobel, M., & Stone, L. (2004). Technology and equity in schooling:

Deconstructing the digital divide. *Educational policy*, 18(4), 562-588.

Zamudio, M., M., Russell, C., Rios, F. A., Bridgeman, J. L. (2012). *Critical race theory matters: Education and ideology*. New York, NY: Routledge.